



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION N	O. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/614,885	•	07/07/2003	Garry Tsaur		8893	
29745	7590	03/30/2006		EXAMINER		
JOE NIE			FIDEI, DAVID			
	AMAR ROA Γ. CA 9178		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				3728	3728	

DATE MAILED: 03/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/614,885	14,885 TSAUR, GARRY						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		David T. Fide	ei e	3728					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication reply	n appears on the co	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory in re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS FR 1.136(a). In no event, on. period will apply and will ex statute, cause the applicat	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tim kpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONEI	N. hely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	•								
_	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	27 December 200	5						
		This action is non							
/	<i>'</i>	secution as to the	merits is						
٠,۵	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims	, ,,	,						
· ·		ation							
	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·									
	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election real	irement						
	•	and/or crection requ	mement.						
	on Papers								
·	The specification is objected to by the Exa								
10)[_]	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)	objected to by the E	Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
==	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • •		, ,				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note	the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	reign priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been re	eceived.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been r	eceived in Application	on No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents	s have been receive	d in this National	Stage				
	application from the International Bo	ureau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).						
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified	d copies not receive	d.					
Attachmen	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Interview Summary						
_	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)	•	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa		-152)				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date	2,00,	Other:	лот арричаноп (СТО	-192)				

Application/Control Number: 10/614,885

Art Unit: 3728

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5-9 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones (Patent no. 1,459,831) in view of Lowry et al (Patent Pub. No. US 2002/0088723).

Jones discloses an elongated tube housing 5 with two open ends and a fixed length that is preferably formed of aluminum that is considered to resist axial compression. An elongated member 18 is disposed with the hollow tube housing 5. The difference between the claimed subject matte and Jones resides in a protective covering enclosing the hollow tube housing and elongated member.

Lowry et al teaches the shipping of package 20 by the use of an outer shipping bag 36. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in art to modify the device of Jones by providing a protective cover enclosing the covering enclosing the hollow tube housing and elongated member as taught by Lowry et al, in order to permit shipping of the device for distribution.

As to claims 3 and 9 the open end of the housing is sealed at end 8.

As to claims 4 and 11, Lowry et al contemplates plastic in paragraph [0041].

As to claim 13, the swab applicator includes a handle 18 and an absorbent tip 20. The brush end is considered absorbent, as the applicator normally feels wet after normal use for a relatively long period.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,885

Art Unit: 3728

3. Claims 4 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the prior art as applied to claims above, and further in view of Nichols (Patent no. 2,542,206).

The difference between the claimed subject matter and Lowry et al resides in the protective covering having a notch. Providing a notch in a shipping bag is notoriously old and well known as taught by Nichols figures 4 and 5, # 18. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the protective covering by providing a notch in one or more of its edges in order to provide a convenient manner for opening the covering.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed December 27, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With regard to Jones (US Patent No. 1,459,831) discloseing a holding device that is a tube sealed for a toothbrush in an air tight receptacle, it is not seen what this has to do with the claimed subject matter. Nothing is recited in the claims regarding the exclusion of such an arrangement. To the contrary, the Examiner points out the packaging is set forth as comprising. It is well settled patent law that the term comprising is "open-ended" where the prior art device can include elements that are not part of claims. The transitional term "comprising", which is synonymous with "including," "containing," or "characterized by," is inclusive or open-ended and does not exclude additional, unrecited elements or method steps. See, e.g., > Mars Inc. v. H.J. Heinz Co., 377 F.3d 1369, 1376, 71 USPQ2d 1837, 1843 (Fed. Cir. 2004) ("like the term comprising," the terms containing and mixture are open-ended.").< Invitrogen Corp. v. Biocrest Mfg., L.P., 327 F.3d 1364, 1368, 66 USPQ2d 1631, 1634 (Fed. Cir. 2003).

Hence, applicant's position that Jones' device can not meet the claimed subject matter because a cap element is screwed on the open tube is defective as a matter of law. Furthermore Applicant's arguments on page 7 are moot as it is not required that a reference teach what applicant has disclosed, but only that the claims "read on" something disclosed in the reference.

Accordingly, the rejections have been maintained.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,885 Page 4

Art Unit: 3728

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David T. Fidei whose telephone number is (571) 272-4553. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David 1. Fidei Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728